

Beyond Gaussian : A Comment

Kazuyuki FUJII *

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Yokohama City University

Yokohama, 236-0027

Japan

Abstract

In this paper we treat a non-Gaussian integral and give a fundamental formula in terms of discriminant. We also present some related problems.

This is a comment paper to arXiv:0903.2595 [math-ph] by Morozov and Shakirov.

1 Introduction

Gaussian plays a fundamental role in Mathematics (including Statistics) and Physics and et al. We want to (or we should) overcome its high wall in this century, and so a try is introduced.

In the paper [1] the following “formula” is listed :

$$\int \int e^{-(ax^3+bx^2y+cxy^2+dy^3)} dx dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt[6]{-D}} \quad (1)$$

where D is the discriminant given by

$$D = -(27a^2d^2 + 4ac^3 - 18abcd - b^2c^2 + 4b^3d) \quad (2)$$

*E-mail address : fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

of the cubic equation

$$ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d = 0. \quad (3)$$

The equation (1) is of course non-Gaussian. However, if we consider it in the framework of real category then (1) is not correct because the left hand side diverges. In this paper we treat only real category, and so a, b, c, d, x, y are real numbers.

Formally, by performing the change of variable $x = t\rho$, $y = \rho$ for (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (1)} &= \int \int e^{-\rho^3(at^3+bt^2+ct+d)} |\rho| dt d\rho \\ &= \int \left\{ \int e^{-(at^3+bt^2+ct+d)\rho^3} |\rho| d\rho \right\} dt \\ &= \int |\sigma| e^{-\sigma^3} d\sigma \int \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{(at^3+bt^2+ct+d)^2}} dt \end{aligned}$$

by the change of variable $\sigma = \sqrt[3]{at^3+bt^2+ct+d} \rho$.

Therefore we can conjecture that the formula may be

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{(ax^3+bx^2+cx+d)^2}} dx = \frac{C}{\sqrt[6]{-D}} \quad (4)$$

under the change $t \rightarrow x$. Here C is a constant.

In the paper we calculate the left hand side of (4) **directly**.

2 Fundamental Formula

Before stating the result let us make some preparations. The Gamma-function $\Gamma(p)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{p-1} dx \quad (p > 0) \quad (5)$$

and the Beta-function $B(p, q)$ is

$$B(p, q) = \int_0^1 x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} dx \quad (p, q > 0). \quad (6)$$

Note that the Beta-function is rewritten as

$$B(p, q) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{p-1}}{(1+x)^{p+q}} dx.$$

See [2] in more detail. Now we are in a position to state the result.

Integral Formula

(I) For $D < 0$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{(ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d)^2}} dx = \frac{C_-}{\sqrt[6]{-D}} \quad (7)$$

where

$$C_- = \sqrt[3]{2}B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}\right).$$

(II) For $D > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{(ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d)^2}} dx = \frac{C_+}{\sqrt[6]{D}} \quad (8)$$

where

$$C_+ = 3B\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right).$$

(III) C_- and C_+ are related to $C_+ = \sqrt{3}C_-$ by the equation

$$\sqrt{3}B\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \sqrt[3]{2}B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}\right). \quad (9)$$

Our result shows that the integral depends on the sign of D , and so our question is as follows.

Problem Can the result be derived from the method developed in [1] ?

A comment is in order. If we treat the Gaussian case ($: e^{-(ax^2+bx+cy^2)}$) then the integral is reduced to

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{ax^2 + bx + c} dx = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{-D}} \quad (10)$$

if $a > 0$ and $D = b^2 - 4ac < 0$. Noting

$$\pi = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\pi}}{1} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1)} = B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

(10) should be read as

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{ax^2 + bx + c} dx = \frac{2B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{-D}}.$$

3 Discriminant

In this section we make some comments on the discriminant (2). See [3] in more detail ([3] is strongly recommended).

For the equations

$$f(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d, \quad f'(x) = 3ax^2 + 2bx + c \quad (11)$$

the resultant $R(f, f')$ of f and f' is given by

$$R(f, f') = \begin{vmatrix} a & b & c & d & 0 \\ 0 & a & b & c & d \\ 3a & 2b & c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3a & 2b & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3a & 2b & c \end{vmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

It is easy to calculate (12) and the result becomes

$$\frac{1}{a}R(f, f') = 27a^2d^2 + 4ac^3 - 18abcd - b^2c^2 + 4b^3d = -D. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, if α, β, γ are three solutions of $f(x) = 0$ in (11), then the following relations are well-known.

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta + \gamma = -\frac{b}{a} \\ \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma = \frac{c}{a} \\ \alpha\beta\gamma = -\frac{d}{a} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

If we set

$$\Delta = (\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma) \quad (15)$$

the discriminant D is given by

$$D = a^4\Delta^2. \quad (16)$$

Let us calculate Δ^2 directly. For the Vandermonde matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix} \implies |V| = -\Delta$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 &= (-|V|)^2 = |V||V^T| = |VV^T| \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} 3 & \alpha + \beta + \gamma & \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma & \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 & \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 \\ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 & \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 & \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{a^4} \frac{-1}{3} \{ (bc - 9ad)^2 - 4(b^2 - 3ac)(c^2 - 3bd) \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This result is very suggestive. In fact, from the cubic equation

$$ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d = 0$$

we have three data

$$A = b^2 - 3ac, \quad B = bc - 9ad, \quad C = c^2 - 3bd$$

, and so if we consider the quadratic equation

$$AX^2 + BX + C = 0$$

then the discriminant is just $B^2 - 4AC$. This is very interesting.

Problem Make the meaning clear !

4 Concluding Remarks

In the paper we calculated the non-Gaussian integral (4) in a direct manner. Details of calculation will be published in [4].

In this stage we can consider the general case. For the general equation

$$f(x) = a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}x + a_n \tag{18}$$

the (non-Gaussian) integral becomes

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{f(x)^2}} dx. \tag{19}$$

The discriminant D of the equation $f(x) = 0$ is given by the resultant $R(f, f')$ of f and f' like

$$\frac{1}{a_0} R(f, f') = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} D \iff D = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} R(f, f') / a_0 \quad (20)$$

where

$$R(f, f') = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n & & & & \\ & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n & \\ na_0 & (n-1)a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & & & & & \\ & na_0 & (n-1)a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & na_0 & (n-1)a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & & \end{vmatrix},$$

see (11) and (12).

For example, if $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n=4} = & 256a_0^3a_4^3 - 4a_1^3a_3^3 - 27a_0^2a_3^4 - 27a_1^4a_4^2 - 128a_0^2a_2^2a_4^2 + a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2 + 16a_0a_2^4a_4 \\ & - 4a_0a_2^3a_3^2 - 4a_1^2a_2^3a_4 + 144a_0^2a_2a_3^2a_4 - 6a_0a_1^2a_3^2a_4 + 144a_0a_1^2a_2a_4^2 - 192a_0^2a_1a_3a_4^2 \\ & + 18a_0a_1a_2a_3^3 + 18a_1^3a_2a_3a_4 - 80a_0a_1a_2^2a_3a_4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{n=5} = & 3125a_0^4a_5^4 - 2500a_0^3a_1a_4a_5^3 - 3750a_0^3a_2a_3a_5^3 + 2000a_0^3a_2a_4^2a_5^2 + 2250a_0^3a_3^2a_4a_5^2 \\
& -1600a_0^3a_3a_4^3a_5 + 256a_0^3a_4^5 + 2000a_0^2a_1^2a_3a_5^3 - 50a_0^2a_1^2a_4^2a_5^2 + 2250a_0^2a_1a_2^2a_5^3 \\
& -2050a_0^2a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5^2 + 160a_0^2a_1a_2a_4^3a_5 - 900a_0^2a_1a_3^3a_5^2 + 1020a_0^2a_1a_3^2a_4^2a_5 - 192a_0^2a_1a_3a_4^4 \\
& -900a_0^2a_2^3a_4a_5^2 + 825a_0^2a_2^2a_3^2a_5^2 + 560a_0^2a_2^2a_3a_4^2a_5 - 128a_0^2a_2^2a_4^4 - 630a_0^2a_2a_3^3a_4a_5 \\
& +144a_0^2a_2a_3^2a_4^3 + 108a_0^2a_3^5a_5 - 27a_0^2a_3^4a_4^2 - 1600a_0a_1^3a_2a_5^3 + 160a_0a_1^3a_3a_4a_5^2 \\
& -36a_0a_1^3a_4^3a_5 + 1020a_0a_1^2a_2^2a_4a_5^2 + 560a_0a_1^2a_2a_3^2a_5^2 - 746a_0a_1^2a_2a_3a_4^2a_5 + 144a_0a_1^2a_2a_4^4 \\
& +24a_0a_1^2a_3^3a_4a_5 - 6a_0a_1^2a_3^2a_4^3 - 630a_0a_1a_2^3a_3a_5^2 + 24a_0a_1a_2^3a_4^2a_5 + 356a_0a_1a_2^2a_3^2a_4a_5 \\
& -80a_0a_1a_2^2a_3a_4^3 - 72a_0a_1a_2a_3^4a_5 + 18a_0a_1a_2a_3^3a_4^2 + 108a_0a_2^5a_5^2 - 72a_0a_2^4a_3a_4a_5 + 16a_0a_2^4a_4^3 \\
& +16a_0a_2^3a_3^3a_5 - 4a_0a_2^3a_3^2a_4^2 + 256a_1^5a_5^3 - 192a_1^4a_2a_4a_5^2 - 128a_1^4a_3^2a_5^2 + 144a_1^4a_3a_4^2a_5 \\
& -27a_1^4a_4^4 + 144a_1^3a_2^2a_3a_5^2 - 6a_1^3a_2^2a_4^2a_5 - 80a_1^3a_2a_3^2a_4a_5 + 18a_1^3a_2a_3a_4^3 + 16a_1^3a_3^4a_5 \\
& -4a_1^3a_3^3a_4^2 - 27a_1^2a_2^4a_5^2 + 18a_1^2a_2^3a_3a_4a_5 - 4a_1^2a_2^3a_4^3 - 4a_1^2a_2^2a_3^3a_5 + a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2a_4^2.
\end{aligned}$$

However, to write down the general case explicitly is not easy.

Problem Calculate (19) for $n = 4$ (and $n = 5$) directly.

The wall called Gaussian is very high and not easy to overcome, and therefore hard work will be needed.

References

- [1] A. Morozov and Sh. Shakirov : Introduction to Integral Discriminants, arXiv:0903.2595 [math-ph].
- [2] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson : A Course of MODERN ANALYSIS, 1990 (latest), Cambridge University Press.
- [3] I. Satake : Linear Algebra (in Japanese), 1989 (latest), Shokabo, Tokyo.

As far as I know this is the best book on Elementary Linear Algebra.

[4] K. Fujii : in preparation.